

On the geometric potential derived from Hermitian momenta on a curved surface

M. Encinosa
Florida A&M University
Department of Physics
Tallahassee FL 32307

A geometric potential V_C depending on the mean and Gaussian curvatures of a surface Σ arises when confining a particle initially in a three-dimensional space Ω onto Σ when the particle Hamiltonian H_Ω is taken proportional to the Laplacian L on Ω . In this work rather than assume $H_\Omega \propto L$, momenta P_η Hermitian over Ω are constructed and used to derive an alternate Hamiltonian H_η . The procedure leading to V_C , when performed with H_η , is shown to yield $V_C = 0$. To obtain a measure of the difference between the two approaches, numerical results are presented for a toroidal model.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge, 73.21.-b

Keywords: Hermitian momenta, geometric potential

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of quantum mechanics on curved surfaces has been a subject of theoretical effort for decades [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The approach as pioneered in [1, 2, 3, 4] first defines a surface $\Sigma(u, v)$ and employs a coordinate q to describe excursions at any point normal to Σ . The Hamiltonian appropriate to the three dimensional coordinate system Ω characterized by a metric g_{ij} is then defined by $H_\Omega \equiv -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2$ with

$$\nabla^2 = g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \left[g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} \right]. \quad (1)$$

As will be briefly reviewed below, Eq.(1), along with imposing conservation of the norm in the limiting case where the particle is constrained to motion on Σ , leads to a geometric potential V_C . This paper with is concerned with how V_C is modified when instead of defining H_Ω through Eq.(1), another choice of Hamiltonian, one for which surface and normal momenta are required to be Hermitian over Ω is adopted.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: in section 2 the geometric potential is derived from Eq.(1) for a cylindrically symmetric geometry Ω_c . This material has appeared other places, but is included here to keep this work as self contained as possible and to establish some notation. A symmetric geometry was chosen for ease of presentation; the salient points for more general cases remain intact. In section 3 the classical Hamiltonian for a particle in Ω_c is written, Hermitian momenta on Ω_c are found, and standard quantum mechanical rules employed to write the first quantized Hamiltonian. Finally, using the limiting procedure detailed in section 2, the geometric potential is re-derived. Section 4 presents numerical calculations for a toroidal system, and section 5 is reserved for conclusions.

2. THE GEOMETRIC POTENTIAL; A BRIEF REVIEW.

The derivation of V_C will be performed for a cylindrically symmetric geometry Ω_c with $H_\Omega \propto L$. The extension to the general case is straightforward and the central results below will be not be affected by the restriction to azimuthal symmetry.

Let $\mathbf{e}_\rho, \mathbf{e}_\phi$ be cylindrical coordinate system unit vectors defined through

$$\mathbf{e}_\rho = \cos\phi \mathbf{i} + \sin\phi \mathbf{j} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_\phi = -\sin\phi \mathbf{i} + \cos\phi \mathbf{j} \quad (3)$$

and let a cylindrically symmetric surface Σ_c be described by

$$\mathbf{r}(\rho, \phi) = \rho \mathbf{e}_\rho + S(\rho) \mathbf{k}. \quad (4)$$

$S(\rho)$ gives the shape of the surface. Any point near Σ_c may be reached by travelling normal to Σ_c up (or down) a distance q via

$$\mathbf{x}(\rho, \phi, q) = \mathbf{r}(\rho, \phi) + q\mathbf{e}_n \quad (5)$$

with $\mathbf{e}_n$ everywhere normal to the surface. The metric near the surface derived from Eq. (5) is [15] (subscripts here indicate differentiation)

$$dx^2 = Z^2 \left[1 - \frac{qS_{\rho\rho}}{Z^3} \right]^2 d\rho^2 + \rho^2 \left[1 - \frac{qS_\rho}{\rho Z} \right]^2 d\phi^2 + dq^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\equiv Z^2 [1 + qk_1]^2 d\rho^2 + \rho^2 [1 + qk_2]^2 d\phi^2 + dq^2 \quad (7)$$

with $Z = \sqrt{1 + S_\rho^2}$. The Laplacian can be found straightforwardly from Eq. (7) but is not essential to the main arguments that follow.

Now consider a situation where a large confining potential $V_n(q)$ everywhere normal to Σ_c acts to restrict the particle to the surface. The derivation of the geometric potential is independent of its specific form [21], but however chosen it causes the particle to sit on Σ_c . In this limit the wave function decouples into surface and normal parts [1, 2, 3, 4]

$$\Psi(\rho, \phi, q) \rightarrow \chi_s(\rho, \phi)\chi_n(q). \quad (8)$$

Conservation of the norm in the decoupled limit is imposed by insisting upon the condition

$$|\Psi|^2 F d\Sigma dq = |\chi_s|^2 |\chi_n|^2 d\Sigma dq \quad (9)$$

with $F = 1 + 2qh + q^2k$ and $d\Sigma$ the surface measure. h and k are the mean and Gaussian curvatures given by

$$h = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2), \quad (10)$$

$$k = k_1 k_2. \quad (11)$$

Inserting the expression given by Eq.(8)

$$\Psi = \frac{\chi_s \chi_n}{\sqrt{F}} \quad (12)$$

into the time independent Schrodinger equation $-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\Psi = E\Psi$, and taking the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit after performing all q differentiations, yields the pair of equations ($\hbar = m = 1$)

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{Z^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{Z^2 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{Z_\rho}{Z^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + (h^2 - k) \right] \chi_s = E_s \chi_s \quad (13)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \chi_n}{\partial q^2} + V_n(q) \chi_n = E_n \chi_n. \quad (14)$$

The curvature potential V_C is

$$V_C = -\frac{1}{2}[h^2 - k]; \quad (15)$$

seeing specifically how V_C is generated will prove important in the following section. It arises from the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit of the

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + 2h \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right) \chi_s(\rho, \phi) \chi_n(q) F^{-1/2} \quad (16)$$

term in the full Laplacian. The Z dependence appearing in the kinetic energy operator given by Eq. (13) occurs independently of the q degree of freedom. The effect on the spectra of some simple systems due to metric factors in the operator was shown to be small in [15, 16] for some parameterizations of $S(\rho)$, but it is also possible for the effects to be large [22].

3. THE HAMILTONIAN WITH HERMITIAN MOMENTA

In this section a Hamiltonian H_η quadratic in momenta P_η Hermitian over Ω_c is developed. The procedure followed comprises standard quantum mechanical rules, to wit:

- a. the classical Lagrangian is written
- b. the canonical momenta are determined
- c. the classical momenta are replaced with their first quantized form $\hat{P}_\eta$ Hermitian on Ω_c .

The Lagrangian for a particle in a geometry described by Eq. (6) is

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (Z^2 [1 + k_1 q]^2 \dot{\rho}^2 + \rho^2 [1 + k_2 q]^2 \dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{q}^2). \quad (17)$$

With $P_\eta = \partial L / \partial \dot{q}_\eta$, the classical Hamiltonian for this geometry is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{P_\rho^2}{Z^2 [1 + k_1 q]^2} + \frac{P_\phi^2}{\rho^2 [1 + k_2 q]^2} + P_q^2 \right). \quad (18)$$

To proceed with first quantization via $P_\eta \rightarrow \hat{P}_\eta$, it is necessary to produce momentum operators Hermitian on a geometry described by g_{ij} . The required relation is

$$\hat{P}_\eta = \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\eta} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\eta} \ln \sqrt{g} \right). \quad (19)$$

Anticipating the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit, it is useful to note the differentiations done by the surface momenta will pass over any appearances of the q variable, making it possible to set $q = 0$ in both of them without affecting the final result. The momenta in this limit are

$$\hat{P}_\rho = \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{2\rho} \right) \quad (20)$$

$$\hat{P}_\phi = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{P}_q = \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \frac{1}{F} [h + qk] \right). \quad (22)$$

H_η is straightforward to obtain from the three momenta listed above, but it is Eqs. (16) and (22) that will be focused upon to generate the central result of this paper. Write

$$-\hat{P}_q^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + \frac{2}{F} (h + qk) \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \frac{1}{F} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial q} + k \right) - \frac{1}{F^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q} (h + qk) + \frac{1}{F^2} (h + qk)^2; \quad (23)$$

upon taking the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit the result is

$$-\hat{P}_q^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + 2h \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + k - h^2. \quad (24)$$

The key point is simple; Eq.(24) includes the operator that appears in the Laplacian formulation of the problem as shown in Eq.(16). It eventually yields $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2}$ plus $h^2 - k$, from which it is trivially seen that the geometric potential cancels in the Hermitian formulation.

4. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

In this section the spectra and wave functions of the Schrodinger equation for a particle on a toroidal surface T^2 of major radius R and minor radius a will be compared using the two formalisms detailed above. There are good reasons to choose such a system, not the least being calculating system observables is tractable. Additionally, toroidal

nanostructures of varied types have been fabricated so there is some relevance to real devices, a point which will be elaborated upon in the conclusions section below.

The surface of a doughnut shaped torus has may be parameterized by [23]

$$\mathbf{x}(\theta, \phi, q) = (R + a \cos\theta)\mathbf{e}_\rho + a \sin\theta\mathbf{k} + q\mathbf{e}_n. \quad (25)$$

Applying d to Eq.(25) gives

$$d\mathbf{x} = (a + q)d\theta\mathbf{e}_\theta + [R + (a + q) \cos\theta]d\phi\mathbf{e}_\phi + dq\mathbf{e}_n \quad (26)$$

with $\mathbf{e}_\theta = -\sin\theta\mathbf{e}_\rho + \cos\theta\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{e}_n \equiv \mathbf{e}_\phi \times \mathbf{e}_\theta$.

Let $\alpha = a/R$, $\beta = 2Ea^2$; applying the formalism described in section 2 and making the standard separation ansatz for the azimuthal part of the wave function $\Psi(\theta, \phi) = \psi(\theta)\exp[i\nu\phi]$ yields the Schrodinger equation with V_C present [24],

$$\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta^2} - \frac{\alpha \sin\theta}{[1 + \alpha \cos\theta]} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\theta} - \frac{(\nu^2\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4})}{[1 + \alpha \cos\theta]^2} \psi + \beta\psi = 0. \quad (27)$$

The method of section 3 gives the Schrodinger equation

$$\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\theta^2} - \frac{\alpha \sin\theta}{[1 + \alpha \cos\theta]} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\theta} - \frac{[\nu^2\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 - 1)]}{[1 + \alpha \cos\theta]^2} \psi + (\beta - \frac{1}{4})\psi = 0. \quad (28)$$

Even before consideration of the solutions of Eq. (27) and (28), there is an immediate distinction between the two. The cancellation of the azimuthal kinetic energy term at discrete values of α occurs in the former at values of

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2\nu} \quad (29)$$

and in the latter at

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + 4\nu^2}}. \quad (30)$$

The ramifications of Eq.(29) and by implication Eq.(30) have been discussed elsewhere. Here it need be noted that while there appears a term in the Hermitian formalism that is identical to V_C derived from the Laplacian method, it is an artifact of the high degree of symmetry of the torus and may take a very different form in the general case.

Numerical solutions of Eqs. (27) and (28) were determined by a basis set expansion in Gram-Schmidt functions on T^2 [25]. Eigenvalues and wave functions are given in tables 1-3 for several low-lying states with $\alpha = 1/3, 1/2$ and $2/3$. The results indicate clearly that the two Hamiltonians can differ, particularly at the “magic” α given by Eq. (29) or Eq. (30), and when the torus fattens to values of $\alpha \sim 2/3$.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This work demonstrated that a Hamiltonian quadratic in momenta Hermitian on a curved surface and consistent with standard quantum mechanical rules leads to a vanishing geometric potential V_C . It is interesting that Golovnev has recently employed an alternate (Dirac quantization) procedure for which $V_C = 0$ on a sphere [26]; however, it is not clear to the author how the DQ method could be easily implemented on T^2 . Numerical results were presented to show the differences in spectra and wave functions between the two Hamiltonians discussed here can be substantial. It is possible to speculate as to whether advances in nanostructure fabrication may perhaps allow for experimental determination of which (if either) Hamiltonian studied here is the more correct description of curved surface nanophysics. Given that toroidal structures have been fabricated and are “clean” with respect to curvature effects (in that there is enough symmetry to make the problem interesting but not so much to make it trivial), it is conceivable that existing devices may be employed to settle the very fundamental issue of what is the appropriate Hamiltonian for a particle constrained to a surface.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Mr. Lonnie Mott for useful discussions.

-
- [1] H. Jensen and H. Koppe, Ann. of Phys. **63**, 586 (1971).
 - [2] R. C. T. da Costa, Phys. Rev. A **23**, 1982 (1981).
 - [3] R. C. T. da Costa, Phys. Rev. A **25**, 2893 (1982).
 - [4] M. Burgess and B. Jensen, Phys. Rev. A **48**, 1861 (1993).
 - [5] P. Exner and P. Seba, J. Math. Phys. **30**, 2574 (1989).
 - [6] S. Matusani, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. **61**, 55 (1991).
 - [7] P. Duclos and P. Exner, Rev. Math. Phys. **7**, 73 (1995).
 - [8] J. Londergan, J. Carini, and D. Murdock, *Binding and scattering in two dimensional systems; applications to quantum wires, waveguides, and photonic crystals* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999).
 - [9] J. Goldstone and R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 14100 (1991).
 - [10] P. Ouyang, V. Mohta, and R. L. Jaffe, Ann. of Phys. **275**, 297 (1998).
 - [11] I. Popov, Phys. Lett. A **269**, 148 (2000).
 - [12] S. Midgley and J. Wang, Aus. J. Phys. **53**, 77 (2000).
 - [13] I. J. Clark and A. J. Bracken, J. Phys. A **29**, 4527 (1996).
 - [14] P. C. Schuster and R. L. Jaffe, Ann. Phys. **307**, 132 (2003).
 - [15] M. Encinosa and B. Etemadi, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 77 (1998).
 - [16] M. Encinosa and B. Etemadi, Physica B **266**, 361 (1998).
 - [17] K. Lin and R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 5757 (1996).
 - [18] A. Chaplik and R. H. Blick, New J. Phys. **6**, 33 (2004).
 - [19] S. Qu and M. Geller, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 085414 (2004).
 - [20] B. Nilsson, [cond-mat/0103029](#).
 - [21] M. Encinosa, L.Mott, and B. Etemadi, Phys. Scr. **72**, 13 (2005).
 - [22] M. Encinosa, unpublished.
 - [23] M. Encinosa and B. Etemadi, Found. Phys. Lett. **16**, 403 (2003).
 - [24] M. Encinosa and L.Mott, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 014102 (2003).
 - [25] M. Encinosa, [physics/0501161](#), submitted to App. Math. Comp.
 - [26] A. Golovnev, [quant-ph/0508044](#).

TABLE 1: Eigenvalues and wave functions corresponding to the solutions of Eqs. (27) and (28) for $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ (the $(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ normalization from the ϕ dependence is omitted.) Terms not shown are at least an order of magnitude smaller than those listed.

β	$\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \quad V_C \neq 0$
-.2834	$\Psi_0 = .4082 - 0.0776\cos\theta$
-.1528	$\Psi_1 = [.4049 - 0.0421\cos\theta]e^{\pm i\phi}$
+.1968	$\Psi_2 = [.4060 - 0.0525\cos\theta]e^{\pm 2i\phi}$
β	$\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \quad V_C = 0$
0	$\Psi_0 = -.4075 - 0.0686\cos\theta$
+.1267	$\Psi_1 = [.4038 - 0.0335\cos\theta]e^{\pm i\phi}$
+.4735	$\Psi_2 = [.3869 - 0.0593\cos\theta]e^{\pm 2i\phi}$

TABLE 2: As per table 1 with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. The middle state is qualitatively very different due to $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ defining a “magic radius” when $V_C = 0$.

β	$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \quad V_C \neq 0$
-.3511	$\Psi_0 = .4230 - 0.1470\cos\theta$
0	$\Psi_1 = e^{\pm i\phi}$
+.6288	$\Psi_2 = [-.3293 - 0.1935\cos\theta]e^{\pm 2i\phi}$
β	$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \quad V_C = 0$
0	$\Psi_0 = .4191 - 0.1072\cos\theta$
+.3192	$\Psi_1 = [.3912 + 0.0293\cos\theta]e^{\pm i\phi}$
+.9212	$\Psi_2 = [-.3289 - 0.2008\cos\theta]e^{\pm 2i\phi}$

TABLE 3: As per table 1 with $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$. The second excited state for the case when $V_C = 0$ becomes a negative parity function in θ .

β	$\alpha = \frac{2}{3} \quad V_C \neq 0$
-.5947	$\Psi_0 = 0.4597 - 0.3406\cos\theta - 0.1000\cos 2\theta$
+.2024	$\Psi_1 = [0.3570 + 0.1079\cos\theta]e^{\pm i\phi}$
+.4377	$\Psi_2 = 0.5930\cos\theta - 0.1363\cos 2\theta$
β	$\alpha = \frac{2}{3} \quad V_C = 0$
$3.4(10^{-4})$	$\Psi_0 = -0.4369 + 0.1516\cos\theta$
+.5397	$\Psi_1 = [0.3405 + 0.1446\cos\theta]e^{\pm i\phi}$
+1.0000	$\Psi_2 = -0.5888\sin\theta - 0.0992\sin 2\theta$